NOTICE OF OBJECTION

I, Michaelene Jo Formanack, Complainant, of 'Bill in Equity' Michaelene Jo Formanack vs Rosie Rios, Defendant, before the Honorable James D. Peterson, object to the following:

1. Objection, it was not my intent and Complainant sets jurisdiction, that said jurisdiction of a cv number as "Civil I" subject to FRCP would be put on my Bill in Equity. It does not apply to a constitutionally defined citizen of the United States, which I am, on the grounds of lacking subject matter jurisdiction; what ought to have been done to fulfill, to impute, my intent. I am a Private Citizen of the United States, an American National, which is a matter of incontrovertible fact, as proven by my Affidavit of Status filed in Lamar County, GA. Exhibit 1

It was my intent to be in chancery practice. I am entitled to chancery practice based on the merits of my initial filing of my Affidavit of Status.

I am an American National not subject to the FRCP. I am one of the Posterity. I am **not** coming pro se but as *sui juris*. Therefore, I object to the use of a cv number for my case that is, in fact, a civilian complaint. It is **not** a civil complaint.

Show cause why, Complainant's, Bill in Equity, should be issued a cv number, or be it resolved a new case number reflecting proper status; a proper equity number be issued.

Witnessed by my hand and sealed this 30th day of December, 2014.

Witness

Printed Name

Bennett charles Tormaruge

Bennett Charles Formanack Printed Name Michaelene Jo Formanack

MI

Date

December 30, 2014

Date